

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**RONALD ZUESKI,**

**Plaintiff,**

**Case No. 07-10245**

**v.**

**District Judge Denise Page Hood  
Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen**

**MICHIGAN STATE POLICE, et al.,**

**Defendant.**

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE  
MOTIONS TO APPOINT COUNSEL**

Plaintiff, a *pro se* prison inmate in this civil rights action brought under 42 U.S.C. §1983, has filed two motions for appointment of counsel [Docket #3 and #29].

Unlike criminal cases, there is no constitutional or statutory right to the appointment of counsel in civil cases. Rather, the Court requests members of the bar to assist in appropriate cases. In *Lavado v. Keohane*, 992 F.2d 601, 605-606 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1993), the Sixth Circuit noted that “[a]ppointment of counsel in a civil case is not a constitutional right. It is a privilege that is justified only by exceptional circumstances.” (Internal quotations and citations omitted).

It is the practice of this Court to defer any attempt to obtain counsel for *pro se* civil rights Plaintiffs until after motions to dismiss or motions for summary judgment have been

denied. At this point, Plaintiff's motions to appoint counsel are premature. If Plaintiff's claims ultimately survive dispositive motions, he may renew his motion for appointment of counsel at that time.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel [Docket #3 and #29] are **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

S/R. Steven Whalen  
R. STEVEN WHALEN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: June 28, 2007

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served on the attorneys and/or parties of record by electronic means or U.S. Mail on June 28, 2007.

S/G. Wilson  
Judicial Assistant